EUROPOS BENDRIJŲ TEISINGUMO TEISMAS

СЪД НА ЕВРОПЕЙСКИТЕ ОБЩНОСТИ TRIBUNAL DE JUSTICIA DE LAS COMUNIDADES EUROPEAS SOUDNÍ DVŮR EVROPSKÝCH SPOLEČENSTVÍ DE EUROPÆISKE FÆLLESSKABERS DOMSTOL GERICHTSHOF DER EUROPÄISCHEN GEMEINSCHAFTEN EUROOPA ÜHENDUSTE KOHUS $\Delta IKA\Sigma THPIO T\Omega N EYP\Omega \Pi A IK\Omega N KOINOTH T\Omega N$ COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES COUR DE JUSTICE DES COMMUNAUTÉS EUROPÉENNES CÚIRT BHREITHIÚNAIS NA gCÓMHPHOBAL EORPACH CORTE DI GIUSTIZIA DELLE COMUNITÀ EUROPEE EIROPAS KOPIENU TIESA

AZ EURÓPAI KÖZÖSSÉGEK BÍRÓSÁGA IL-QORTI TAL-ĠUSTIZZJA TAL-KOMUNITAJIET EWROPEJ HOF VAN JUSTITIE VAN DE EUROPESE GEMEENSCHAPPEN TRYBUNAŁ SPRAWIEDLIWOŚCI WSPÓLNOT EUROPEJSKICH TRIBUNAL DE JUSTIÇA DAS COMUNIDADES EUROPEIAS CURTEA DE JUSTIȚIE A COMUNITĂȚILOR EUROPENE SÚDNY DVOR EURÓPSKYCH SPOLOČENSTIEV SODIŠČE EVROPSKIH SKUPNOSTI EUROOPAN YHTEISÖJEN TUOMIOISTUIN EUROPEISKA GEMENSKAPERNAS DOMSTOL

LUXEMBOURG

Press and Information

PRESS RELEASE No 70/09

8 September 2009

Judgment of the Court of Justice in Case C-42/07

Liga Portuguesa de Futebol Profissional (CA/LPFP) and Bwin International Limited v Departamento de Jogos da Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa

THE PROHIBITION WHICH PORTUGUESE LEGISLATION IMPOSES ON **OPERATORS SUCH AS BWIN OF OFFERING GAMES OF CHANCE VIA THE INTERNET MAY BE REGARDED AS COMPATIBLE WITH THE FREEDOM TO PROVIDE SERVICES**

In the light of the specific features associated with the offering of games of chance via the internet, such legislation may be justified by the objective of combating fraud and crime

In order to prevent the operation of games of chance via the internet for fraudulent or criminal purposes, Portuguese legislation confers on the Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa, a centuries-old non-profit-making organisation operating under the strict control of the Portuguese Government, the exclusive right to organise and operate lotteries, lotto games and sporting bets via the internet. That legislation also provides for penalties in the form of fines which may be imposed on those who organise such games in contravention of that exclusive right and who advertise those games.

Bwin, a private on-line gaming undertaking established in Gibraltar, and the Liga Portuguesa de Futebol Profissional were fined EUR 74 500 and EUR 75 000 respectively for offering games of chance via the internet and for advertising those games. The Tribunal de Pequena Instância Criminal do Porto (Local Criminal Court, Oporto), before which Bwin and the Liga challenged those fines, questions whether the Portuguese legislation is compatible with freedom of establishment, the free movement of capital and the free movement of services.

First of all, the Court of Justice takes the view that freedom of establishment and the free movement of capital do not apply to the dispute in question.

In those circumstances, the Court examines whether the freedom to provide services precludes the Portuguese legislation in so far as the latter prohibits operators such as Bwin, established in other Member States where they lawfully provide similar services, from offering games of chance via the internet in Portugal. In the context of that analysis, the Court finds, first, that the Portuguese legislation constitutes a restriction on the freedom to provide services.

The Court points out, however, that restrictions on the freedom to provide services may be justified by overriding reasons relating to the public interest. In the absence of Community harmonisation in the area of games of chance, Member States are free to set the objectives of their policy in that area and, where appropriate, to define in detail the level of protection sought. However, the Court notes that the restrictive measures that Member States may impose must satisfy certain conditions: they must be suitable for achieving the objective or objectives invoked by the Member State concerned, and they must not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives. Lastly, in any event, those restrictions must be applied without discrimination.

As regards the justification for the Portuguese legislation, the Court recalls that the objective of the fight against crime relied on by Portugal may constitute an overriding reason relating to the public interest that is capable of justifying restrictions in respect of operators authorised to offer services in the sector concerning games of chance. Games of chance involve a high risk of criminal activity or fraud, in view of the scale of the earnings and the potential winnings on offer to players.

With regard to the suitability of the legislation in question for achieving that objective, the Court expresses the view that the grant of exclusive rights to operate games of chance via the internet to an operator such as Santa Casa, which is subject to strict control by the public authorities, may confine the operation of gambling within controlled channels and be regarded as appropriate for the purpose of protecting consumers against fraud on the part of operators.

As to whether the system in dispute is necessary, the Court finds that a Member State is entitled to take the view that the mere fact that a private operator such as Bwin lawfully offers services in that sector via the internet in another Member State, in which it is established and where it is in principle already subject to statutory conditions and controls, cannot be regarded as amounting to a sufficient assurance that national consumers will be protected against the risks of fraud and crime. In such a context, indeed, difficulties are liable to be encountered by the authorities of the Member State of establishment in assessing the professional qualities and integrity of operators.

In addition, because of the lack of direct contact between consumer and operator, the Court considers that games of chance accessible via the internet involve different and more substantial risks of fraud compared with the traditional markets for such games. The Court also considers it possible that an operator which sponsors some of the sporting competitions on which it accepts bets and some of the teams taking part in those competitions may be in a position to influence the outcome directly or indirectly and thus increase its profits.

Accordingly, in the light of the specific features associated with the offering of games of chance via the internet, the Court takes the view that the prohibition imposed on operators such as Bwin of offering games of chance via the internet may be regarded as justified by the objective of combating fraud and crime and may therefore be compatible with the principle of the freedom to provide services.

Unofficial document for media use, not binding on the Court of Justice.

Languages available: ES, CS, DE, EL, EN, FR, IT, HU, NL, PL, PT, RO, SK

The full text of the judgment may be found on the Court's internet site <u>http://curia.europa.eu/jurisp/cgi-bin/form.pl?lang=EN&Submit=rechercher&numaff=C-42/07</u> It can usually be consulted after midday (CET) on the day judgment is delivered.

> For further information, please contact Christopher Fretwell Tel: (00352) 4303 3355 Fax: (00352) 4303 2731

Pictures of the delivery of the judgment are available on EbS "Europe by Satellite", a service provided by the European Commission, Directorate-General Press and Communications, L-2920 Luxembourg, Tel: (00352) 4301 35177 Fax: (00352) 4301 35249 or B-1049 Brussels, Tel: (0032) 2 2964106 Fax: (0032) 2 2965956