Case C-120/04

Medion AG

v

Thomson multimedia Sales Germany & Austria GmbH

(Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf)

(Trade marks — Directive 89/104/EEC — Article 5(1)(b) — Likelihood of confusion — Use of the trade mark by a third party — Composite sign including the name of another party followed by the trade mark)

Opinion of Advocate General Jacobs delivered on 9 June 2005	5				I - 8553
Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber), 6 October 2005					I - 8565

Summary of the Judgment

Approximation of laws — Trade marks — Directive 89/104 — Right of the proprietor of a registered trade mark to contest its unlawful use — Sign used for identical or similar goods — Likelihood of confusion — Criteria for assessment — Juxtaposing the company name of another party and a registered mark

(Council Directive 89/104, Art. 5(1)(b))

Article 5(1)(b) of First Directive 89/104 relating to trade marks is to be interpreted as meaning that where the goods or services are identical there may be a likelihood of confusion on the part of the public where the contested sign is composed by juxtaposing the company name of another party and a registered mark which has normal distinctiveness and which, without alone determin-

ing the overall impression conveyed by the composite sign, still has an independent distinctive role therein.

(see para. 37, operative part)