2.	Orders the applicant to bear, in addition to its own costs, the costs incurred
	by the Council and the Commission.

Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Grand Chamber) of 14 December 2005 — Fedon & Figli and Others v Council and Commission

(Case T-135/01)

Non-contractual liability of the Community — Incompatibility of the Community regime governing the import of bananas with the rules of the World Trade Organisation (WTO) — Imposition by the United States of America of retaliatory measures in the form of increased customs duty levied on imports from the Community, pursuant to a WTO authorisation — Decision of the WTO Dispute Settlement Body — Legal effects — Community liability in the absence of unlawful conduct on the part of its institutions — Causal link — Unusual and special damage

- 1. Non-contractual liability Conditions Sufficiently serious breach of a rule of law conferring rights on individuals No discretion for the institution Mere infringement of Community law sufficient (Art. 288, second para., EC) (see paras 78-82)
- 2. Actions for damages World Trade Organisation Not possible to rely on the WTO Agreements in order to contest the lawfulness of a Community act Exceptions Community measure intended to implement a WTO Agreement or expressly and specifically referring thereto Community regime governing the import of bananas Incompatibility with the WTO rules established by the Dispute Settlement Body of the WTO Judicial

INFORMATION ON UNPUBLISHED DECISIONS

review of the lawfulness of that regime under the WTO rules — Excluded (Art. 288, second para., EC; Council Regulations Nos 404/93 and 1637/98; Commission Regulation No 2362/98) (see paras 103, 106-108)

- 3. Non-contractual liability Conditions No unlawful conduct on the part of the Community institutions Real damage, causal link and unusual and special damage Cumulative requirements (Art. 288, second para., EC) (see para. 153)
- 4. Non-contractual liability Conditions Retention of a Community banana import regime incompatible with the WTO Agreements Damage arising from the adoption of a retaliatory measure by the United States authorities Causal link (Art. 288, second para., EC) (see paras 171-172, 177-179, 183)
- 5. Non-contractual liability Conditions No unlawful conduct on the part of the Community institutions Damage arising from the incompatibility of the Community regime governing the import of bananas with the WTO Agreements Unusual damage None Liability of the Community Excluded (Art. 288, second para., EC) (see paras 191-192, 194, 199, 201)

Re

ACTION for compensation in respect of the damage allegedly caused by the levying by the United States of America of increased customs duty on the applicants' imports of spectacle cases, as authorised by the Dispute Settlement Body of the World Trade Organisation (WTO), following a finding that the Community regime governing the import of bananas was incompatible with the agreements and understandings annexed to the Agreement establishing the WTO.

Operative part

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;

II - 30*

2.	Orders the applicants to bear their own costs and to pay those of the Council
	and the Commission.

Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Second Chamber) of 14 December 2005 — Greencore Group v Commission

(Case T-135/02)

Compliance with a judgment of the Court of First Instance — Reduction of the fine imposed on the applicant — Failure then refusal of the Commission to pay interest on the sum refunded — Action for annulment — Principle of legal certainty

- 1. Competition Fines Refunding of a sum overpaid with no decision taken as to the request for payment of default interest Express refusal to pay interest on a subsequent request for this to be paid Refusal based on the request being made out of time in the light of the earlier implied refusal and the requirements of legal certainty Unlawful having regard to the circumstances of the case (see paras 56-57, 63-69)
- 2. Acts of the institutions Statement of reasons Obligation Scope Decisions Curing a defect in the statement of reasons during the proceedings before the Court Not permissible (see para. 58)
- 3. Appeals Judgment of the Court of Justice Binding on the Court of First Instance Scope (see paras 61-62)