Where it is not in dispute that the complaint emanated from the official, who also defined its scope, a requirement that the official sign the complaint drafted by his lawyer would constitute a wholly unreasonable formality lacking in any legislative basis and alien to the spirit of the case-law.

- 2. The lawyer acting for a party is not required to produce a duly executed authority to act, subject to proof, if challenged, that he is so authorized (see judgment in Case 14/64 Gualco v High Authority [1965] ECR XI-4, p. 2).
- 3. As the provisions relating to the carrying-over of annual leave from one calendar year to the next nowhere specify how and when the proof of 'reasons [arising from] the requirements of the service' justifying the carrying-over of more than 12 days' leave must be furnished, a challenge concerning such carrying-over cannot have any object other than the existence of such reasons.
- 4. The administration may not put forward an official's absences on account of illness where these are not the subject of any contestation on its part, as a ground for depriving him of his entitlement to full annual leave.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE (Third Chamber) 26 September 1990*

In Case T-139/89,

Gabriella Virgili-Schettini, a former member of the temporary staff of the European Parliament, residing at Mamer (Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg), represented by Vic Elvinger, of the Luxembourg Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the latter's Chambers, 4 rue Tony-Neuman,

applicant,

v

European Parliament, represented by Jorge Campinos, Jurisconsult, and Manfred Peter, Head of Division, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the General Secretariat of the European Parliament, Kirchberg,

defendant,

^{*} Language of the case: French.

APPLICATION for the annulment of the defendant's decision of 1 February 1989 refusing to compensate the applicant for 75 days of leave not taken on termination of her service,

THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (Third Chamber)

composed of: A. Saggio, President, B. Vesterdorf and K. Lenaerts, Judges,

(the grounds of the judgment are not reproduced)

hereby:

- (1) Annuls the decision of the European Parliament of 1 February 1989 in so far as it concerns the carrying-over of leave pursuant to the first paragraph of Article 4 of Annex V to the Staff Regulations;
- (2) Orders the European Parliament to pay to Gabriella Virgili-Schettini compensation equivalent to 27 days of unused leave, to be calculated in accordance with the second paragraph of Article 4 of Annex V to the Staff Regulations;
- (3) Dismisses the remainder of the application;
- (4) Orders the European Parliament to pay all the costs.