## Information relating to the case

Applicant for the Community trade mark:	Freixenet, SA
Community trade mark sought:	Three-dimensional mark in the shape of a frosted matt black bottle for goods in Class 33 — Application No 32540
Decision of the examiner:	Refusal of registration
Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Appeal dismissed

# Operative part

## The Court:

- 1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 11 February 2004 (Case R 104/2001-4);
- 2. Dismisses the remainder of the action:
- 3. Orders OHIM to bear its own costs and to pay those of the applicant.

# Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Third Chamber) of 4 October 2006 — Freixenet v OHIM (Shape of a frosted white bottle)

(Case T-190/04)

Community trade mark — Shape of a frosted white bottle — Absolute ground for refusal — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94 — Lack of distinctive character — Infringement of the rights of the defence — Article 73 of Regulation No 40/94

#### INFORMATION ON UNPUBLISHED DECISIONS

- 1. Community trade mark Appeals procedure (Council Regulation No 40/94, Art. 63(3) and (6)) (see paras 15-18, 44-47)
- 2. Community trade mark Decisions of the Office Compliance with rights of the defence (Council Regulation No 40/94, Art. 73) (see paras 28-30, 41, 42)

## Re:

ACTION for annulment of the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 11 February 2004 (Case R 97/2001-4) concerning registration of a mark in the form of a frosted white bottle as a Community trade mark.

# Information relating to the case

Applicant for the Community trade mark:	Freixenet, SA
Community trade mark sought:	Three-dimensional mark in the shape of a frosted white bottle for goods in Class 33 — Application No 32532
Decision of the examiner:	Refusal of registration
Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Appeal dismissed

# Operative part

## The Court:

- 1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 11 February 2004 (Case R 97/2001-4);
- 2. Dismisses the remainder of the action;
- 3. Orders OHIM to bear its own costs and to pay those of the applicant.

II - 80\*