

## Joined Cases T-97/92 and T-111/92

Loek Rijnoudt and Michael Hocken

v

Commission of the European Communities

(Application to intervene — Interest in the result of the case)

Order of the Court of First Instance (Fourth Chamber), 15 June 1993 ..... II - 588

### Summary of the Order

*Procedure — Intervention — Actions concerning the civil service — Intervention by an official in an action for annulment brought by another official — Admissibility — Conditions*  
(EEC Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 37, second para.; Rules of Procedure of the Court of First Instance, Art. 115)

In the case of an application by an official to intervene in an action for annulment brought by another official, the concept of an interest in the result of the case within the meaning of the second paragraph of Article 37 of the Statute of the Court of Justice must be construed as a direct interest in the decision on the claims relating specifically to the act whose annulment is sought.

Consequently, in an action brought by an official for the annulment of his salary statement, leave cannot be granted for the intervention of another official who did not bring an action in relation to his own salary statement, although he could have done so, and who can establish only an indirect interest in the result of the case, relating to the success of an objection of illegality raised indirectly by the applicant and deriving from the similarities between his situation and that of the applicant.